arXiv:1401.4827v2 [math.ST] 14 Apr 2014

Measures of Linear Correlation for Multiple Variables

Jianji Wang, Nanning Zheng
Institute of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, 710049
wangjianji@mail.xjtu.edu.cn, nnzheng@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

Multivariate linear correlation analysis plays an important role in various fields such as statistics, economics, and big data analytics. However, there was no compact formulation to define and measure multivariate linear correlation. In this paper, we propose a pair of coupling coefficients, the multivariate linear correlation coefficient (LCC) and linear incorrelation coefficient (LIC), to measure the strength of multivariate linear correlation and linear irrelevance. Pearson's correlation coefficient is a special case of the proposed multivariate LCC for two variables. Based on the proposed multivariate LIC, a compact formula of LIC for linear decomposition is also presented in this paper. The experiment results show that the proposed multivariate LCC is an effective measure for multivariate linear correlation, and a new explanation of determinant is also made from the view of multivariate linear correlation.

Key words: Multivariate correlation coefficient, Multivariate incorrelation coefficient, Correlation matrix, Linear decomposition.

1. INTRODUCTION

Linear correlation analysis is a statistical subject which studies linear relationship and the "strength" of linear relationship among variables. It has been widely applied not only in statistics but also in almost all fields of science [1].

The quantitative method is the main research strategy for linear correlation analysis, in which the strength of linear correlation among variables is measured by a statistic called the linear correlation coefficient (LCC). Pearson's correlation coefficient is a well-known LCC. For two non-zero-variance random variables $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, Pearson's correlation coefficient between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ is defined as

$$r_{\mathbf{ab}} = \frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{ab}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{a}}\sigma_{\mathbf{b}}}, \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{b}}$ are the standard deviations of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, respectively, and $\sigma_{\mathbf{ab}}$ is the covariance between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. $r_{\mathbf{ab}} \in [-1, 1]$ and its absolute value $|r_{\mathbf{ab}}|$ can be used to measure the strength of linear correlation between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.

Pearson's correlation coefficient can only describe the linear relationship between two random variables. However, lots of applications, such as data dimensionality reduction, principal component analysis, and multiple linear regression, need LCC to measure the strength of linear correlation among multiple variables. These applications usually select several variables from a number of variables to make the selected variables have the minimum correlation while remaining enough information.

The development of information technology and big data analytics increases the importance of multivariate linear correlation analysis. Unfortunately, there was no compact formulation to define and measure the multivariate linear correlation until now, and people have to estimate the strength of multivariate linear correlation by the indirect methods such as partial correlation.

In this paper, a multivariate linear correlation coefficient and a multivariate linear incorrelation coefficient (LIC) are proposed. The proposed LCC and LIC can be used to measure the strength of multivariate linear correlation and linear irrelevance. Many properties of them are introduced in the paper. For example, both the proposed LCC and LIC belong to an interval [0,1]; The sum of the square of LCC and the square of LIC for the same variables is 1; The value of LCC for multiple non-zero-variance random variables achieves the maximum value 1 if and only if these variables are linear dependent, and achieves the minimum value 0 if and only if these variables are perpendicular to each other; The value of LCC for multiple variables is not less than that for part of them; If the number of variables is the same as their dimension, their LIC then equals to the absolute value of the determinant of the square matrix whose row or column vectors are the standardized vectors of these variables.

In addition, based on the proposed multivariate LIC, a compact and interesting formula of LIC between a target vector and its linear decomposition is presented for the linear decomposition problem. The formula shows that if some vectors are selected from a vector set to match the target vector by a linear combination of them, these selected vectors should have small correlation while remaining enough information of the target vector.

2. LCC FOR THREE VARIABLES

We denote by $\mathbf{a}'$ the standardized vector of non-zero-variance vector $\mathbf{a}$:

$$\mathbf{a}' = \frac{\mathbf{a} - \mu_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{1}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{a}}},$$

where $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the mean and standard deviation of $\mathbf{a}$, respectively, and $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector whose entries are all ones.

The sign of mutual direction for two variables can be judged by whether the angle between them is larger than 90. However, there is no the mutual direction for multiple variables. Generally, the linear correlation coefficient for multiple variables is always a non-negative value.

Definition 1 For three n-dimensional non-zero-variance variables $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, $n \geq 3$, if $r_{\mathbf{ab}}$, $r_{\mathbf{bc}}$, and $r_{\mathbf{ac}}$ are Pearson's correlation coefficients between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, between $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, and between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, respectively, then linear correlation coefficient $r_{\mathbf{abc}}$ among $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ is defined as

$$r_{\mathbf{abc}}^2 = r_{\mathbf{ab}}^2 + r_{\mathbf{bc}}^2 + r_{\mathbf{ac}}^2 - 2r_{\mathbf{ab}}r_{\mathbf{bc}}r_{\mathbf{ac}}. \tag{2}$$

Some properties of $r_{\mathbf{abc}}^2$ are listed as below.

Property 2.1 $0 \leq r_{\mathbf{abc}}^2 \leq 1$

Proof:

Left:

$$\begin{aligned} & r_{\mathbf{abc}}^2 = r_{\mathbf{ab}}^2 + r_{\mathbf{bc}}^2 + r_{\mathbf{ac}}^2 - 2r_{\mathbf{ab}}r_{\mathbf{bc}}r_{\mathbf{ac}} \\ & = (|r_{\mathbf{ab}}| - |r_{\mathbf{bc}}|)^2 + r_{\mathbf{ac}}^2 + 2\,|r_{\mathbf{ab}}r_{\mathbf{bc}}| - 2r_{\mathbf{ab}}r_{\mathbf{bc}}r_{\mathbf{ac}} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Right: Let $\mathbf{a}'$, $\mathbf{b}'$, and $\mathbf{c}'$ are the standardized vectors of $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, respectively. Dragomir had shown that [2]

$$(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}') - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')(\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}') = (\mathbf{a}' - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{b}' - (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}'),$$

according to Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{a}' - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{b}' - (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}')^2 \leq \|\mathbf{a}' - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}'\|^2 \, \|\mathbf{b}' - (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}'\|^2 \\ & = [\|\mathbf{a}'\|^2 - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')^2][\|\mathbf{b}'\|^2 - (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}')^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$[(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}') - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{b}')]^2 \leq [1 - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')^2][1 - (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}')^2]. \tag{3}$$

Expand and simplify this inequality, we have $r_{\mathbf{abc}}^2 \leq 1$.

Property 2.2 $r_{\mathbf{abc}}^2 = 1$ if and only if variables $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ on the same plane.

Proof: Only one less-than-equal sign appears in the proof of the right side of Property 2.1. The condition for the equality to hold in Schwarz's inequality is that if and only if

$\mathbf{a}' - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}'$ and $\mathbf{b}' - (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}'$ are linearly dependent. Hence, two coefficients λ_1 and λ_2 exist to hold

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{a}' - (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}') + \lambda_2(\mathbf{b}' - (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}')\mathbf{c}') = 0,$$

then we have

$$\lambda_1\mathbf{a}' + \lambda_2\mathbf{b}' + (-\lambda_1(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{c}') - \lambda_2(\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}'))\mathbf{c}' = 0. \tag{4}$$

This property was firstly proposed by Garnett [3].

Property 2.3 $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} = 0$ if and only if variables $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are perpendicular to each other.

Proof: The sufficiency is obviously true.

Necessity: From the proof of left side of Property 2.1 we have

$$\begin{matrix} r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} \geq (|r_{\mathbf{ab}}| - |r_{\mathbf{bc}}|)^2 + r^2_{\mathbf{ac}} \\ r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} \geq (|r_{\mathbf{ac}}| - |r_{\mathbf{bc}}|)^2 + r^2_{\mathbf{ab}} \end{matrix}.$$

Hence, if $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} = 0$, we have $r_{\mathbf{ab}} = r_{\mathbf{bc}} = r_{\mathbf{ac}} = 0$.

Property 2.4 For two given non-zero-variance variables $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are not linear dependent, $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}}$ gets the biggest value 1 if and only if the third non-zero-variance variable $\mathbf{c}$ lies on the plane spanned by $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, and $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}}$ gets the smallest value $r^2_{\mathbf{ab}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{c}$ is perpendicular to the plane spanned by $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.

Proof: The first half part of Property 2.4 can be directly obtained from Property 2.2.

In the second part, we have

$$r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} = (r_{\mathbf{bc}} - r_{\mathbf{ab}}r_{\mathbf{ac}})^2 + (1 - r^2_{\mathbf{ab}})r^2_{\mathbf{ac}} + r^2_{\mathbf{ab}}. \tag{5}$$

To minimize $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}}$, the first two parts should equal to zero. We obtain $r_{\mathbf{bc}} = r_{\mathbf{ac}} = 0$ and $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} = r^2_{\mathbf{ab}}$.

Property 2.5 For two given non-zero-variance variables $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, if $r_{\mathbf{bc}}$ is fixed, $\pi_{\mathbf{ab}}$ is the plane spanned by $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, $\pi_{\mathbf{bc}}$ is the plane spanned by $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, and the angle between $\pi_{\mathbf{ab}}$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{bc}}$ is θ, $\theta \in [0^0, 90^0]$, then $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}}$ is a continuous and strictly monotone decreasing function of angle θ.

Proof: We only consider the 3-dimensional space spanned by $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$. Suppose α, β, and γ are the angles between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, between $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, and between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, respectively. There are many cases for different location relationships of $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$. For example, when $\pi_{\mathbf{ab}} = \pi_{\mathbf{bc}}$, if $\alpha + \beta \leq \pi$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ or $\gamma = |\alpha - \beta|$; if $\alpha + \beta > \pi$, $\gamma = 2\pi - (\alpha + \beta)$




Figure 1. A case of the position relationship among the variables $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are displayed when $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $r_{\mathbf{bc}}$ are fixed. Angles α, β, and γ are the angles between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, between $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, and between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, respectively. Points C′, C_1, and C_2, and the points set $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$ are all on the extended line of possible locus of vector $\mathbf{c}$.

or $\gamma = |\alpha - \beta|$. Here a proof of the case shown in Figure 1 is provided, the proofs in other cases can be similarly obtained.

If OA, OB, and OC have the same direction vectors with variables $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, respectively. As shown in Figure 1, Points C′, C_1, and C_2, and the points set $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$ are all on the extended line of OC with possible locus of vector $\mathbf{c}$. $\mathrm{AB}\perp\mathbf{b}, \pi_{\mathbf{bc}_1}\perp\pi_{\mathbf{ab}}, \mathrm{C}_1\mathrm{B}\perp\mathbf{b}, \mathrm{C}_2 \in \pi_{\mathbf{ab}}, \mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{B}\perp\mathbf{b}$, $\widehat{\mathrm{C}} = \{\mathrm{P}|\mathrm{P} \in \lambda\mathbf{c}, \mathrm{PB}\perp\mathbf{b}, \pi/2 \leq \angle\mathrm{PBA} \leq \pi, \lambda > 0\}$, $\mathrm{BC}'\perp\mathbf{c}$. According to Cosine Theorem, if $\mathrm{C} \in \widehat{\mathrm{C}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&r_{\mathbf{ac}} = \cos\gamma = \tfrac{\|\mathrm{OA}\|^2+\|\mathrm{OC}\|^2-\|\mathrm{AC}\|^2}{2\|\mathrm{OA}\|\|\mathrm{OC}\|} \\
&\|\mathrm{AC}\|^2 = \|\mathrm{AB}\|^2 + \|\mathrm{BC}\|^2 - 2\|\mathrm{AB}\|\|\mathrm{BC}\|\cos\angle\mathrm{ABC} \ . \\
&\theta + \angle\mathrm{ABC} = \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

If point A is fixed, then $\|\mathrm{OA}\|, \|\mathrm{OC}\|, \|\mathrm{AB}\|$, and $\|\mathrm{BC}\|$ are all fixed. Hence, $r_{\mathbf{ac}}$ is a continuous and strictly monotone increasing function of θ with $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$.

When $\pi_{\mathbf{ab}}\perp\pi_{\mathbf{bc}}$, we have $\mathbf{c}_1\perp\triangle\mathrm{ABC}'$ in Figure 1. Then

$$r_{\mathbf{ac}} = \frac{\mathrm{OC}'}{\mathrm{OA}} = \frac{\mathrm{OC}'}{\mathrm{OB}}\frac{\mathrm{OB}}{\mathrm{OA}} = r_{\mathbf{ab}}r_{\mathbf{bc}}$$

Moreover, $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}}$ can be rewritten as following:

$$r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} = (r_{\mathbf{ac}} - r_{\mathbf{ab}}r_{\mathbf{bc}})^2 + (r^2_{\mathbf{ab}} + r^2_{\mathbf{bc}} - r^2_{\mathbf{ab}}r^2_{\mathbf{bc}}) \tag{6}$$

Hence, $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}}$ is a continuous and strictly monotone decreasing function of $r_{\mathbf{ac}}$ when $r_{\mathbf{ac}} \leq r_{\mathbf{ab}} r_{\mathbf{bc}}$. Because $r_{\mathbf{ac}}$ is a continuous and strictly monotone increasing function of θ, Property 2.5 is true.

3. INNER PRODUCT-DETERMINANT EQUATION

If $\mathbf{a}'$ and $\mathbf{b}'$ are the standardized vectors of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, respectively, Pearson's correlation coefficient between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ is then the inner product between $\mathbf{a}'$ and $\mathbf{b}'$. LCC for three variables can also be expressed by inner product according to Definition 1.

In this section, we focus on an identical relation between inner product and a determinant group, which we call the inner product-determinant equation (IPD equation).

For n-dimensional variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$, $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \cdots, a_{in})$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, $m \leq n$, we denote

$$[\mathbf{a}(m)|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1j_1} & a_{1j_2} & \cdots & a_{1j_m} \\ a_{2j_1} & a_{2j_2} & \cdots & a_{2j_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{mj_1} & a_{mj_2} & \cdots & a_{mj_m} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m \leq n$.

According to Cauchy-Binet formula [4], we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1 For n-dimensional variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$, $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \cdots, a_{in})$, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, $m \leq n$, if $\mathbf{M}$ is the inner product matrix of these variables,

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) & (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) & \cdots & (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_m) \\ (\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_1) & (\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_2) & \cdots & (\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{a}_1) & (\mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{a}_2) & \cdots & (\mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{a}_m) \end{bmatrix},$$

then we have

$$\det(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m} (\det[\mathbf{a}(m)|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m])^2, \tag{7}$$

where $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

Proof: According to Cauchy-Binet formula, for the matrixes $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1^T, \mathbf{a}_2^T, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_m^T]$ and $\mathbf{A}^T$, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m} (\det[\mathbf{a}(m)|j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m])^2.$$

Because $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}$, this lemma is true.

We denote by Γ the circular inner product

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{k_1}, \mathbf{a}_{k_2}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{k_p}) = (\mathbf{a}_{k_1}, \mathbf{a}_{k_2})(\mathbf{a}_{k_2}, \mathbf{a}_{k_3}) \cdots (\mathbf{a}_{k_{p-1}}, \mathbf{a}_{k_p})(\mathbf{a}_{k_p}, \mathbf{a}_{k_1}). \tag{8}$$

For some variables, all the permutations of them which can generate the same inner product or circular inner product are regarded as the same inner-product-permutation, then the inner product-determinant equation can be rewritten as following:

Inner product-Determinant Equation For n-dimensional variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$, $m \leq n$, the inner-product-determinant equation is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\pi} 2^{|\pi_3|}(-1)^{m-|\pi|} \prod_{\pi_1} |\mathbf{a}_s|^2 \prod_{\pi_2} (\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j)^2 \prod_{\pi_3} \Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{k_1}, \mathbf{a}_{k_2}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{k_p}) \\ &= \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m} (\det[\mathbf{a}(m)|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m])^2, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where π runs through the list of all partitions of $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ with only consider the inner-product-permutation, and the subsets in each partition π are divided into three classes: If one subset only contains one variable, then this subset belongs to the first class π_1, and the self-inner product of the variable appears in the formula of the partition; If one subset contains two variables, then this subset belongs to the second class π_2, and the square of mutual inner product between the two variables appears in the formula of the partition; The others belong to the third class π_3, and the circular inner product for each subset in π_3 appears in the formula of the partition; The number of subsets in a partition π and π_3 are $|\pi|$ and $|\pi_3|$, respectively.

Proof: In fact, each partition π in the inner product-determinant equation is corresponding to one item in the expansion of the determinant of the inner product matrix. By considering the inversion number and the symmetry of inner product matrix, the above equation can be easily obtained.

If the number of subsets in each π_1 and π_2 are $|\pi_1|$ and $|\pi_2|$, respectively, then $|\pi_1| + |\pi_2| + |\pi_3| = |\pi|$. Moreover, the subsets in π_3 are not the standard sets because the inner-product-permutation is involved.

The inner product-determinant equation has a set-partition-based form, which is similar to the joint cumulant equation [5, 6].

For three n-dimensional variables $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, $n \geq 3$, there are 6 cases of inner products in total, and they are $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$, $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b})$, $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c})$, $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$, and $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})$. From Lemma 1, the IPD equation for three variables can be obtained as following:

Corollary 1 For three n-dimensional variables $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, $n \geq 3$, the inner product-determinant equation is as following:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbf{a}|^2 |\mathbf{b}|^2 |\mathbf{c}|^2 + 2(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c})(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{c}) - |\mathbf{a}|^2 (\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c})^2 - |\mathbf{b}|^2 (\mathbf{a},\mathbf{c})^2 - |\mathbf{c}|^2 (\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i<j<k} (\det[\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c}|i,j,k])^2, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

Similarly, IPD equation for two variables is listed below.

Corollary 2 For two n-dimensional variables $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, $n \geq 2$, the inner product-determinant equation is

$$|\mathbf{a}|^2 |\mathbf{b}|^2 - (\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})^2 = \sum_{i<j} (\det[\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}|i,j])^2, \tag{11}$$

where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

4. CORRELATION MEASURES FOR MULTIPLE VARIABLES

According to Corollary 1 and the definition of LCC for three variables, if $\mathbf{a}'$, $\mathbf{b}'$, and $\mathbf{c}'$ are the standardized vectors of $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, respectively, we have

$$r^2_{\mathbf{abc}} = 1 - \sum_{i<j<k} (\det[\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{c}'|i,j,k])^2. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, the square of Pearson's correlation coefficient between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ can be obtained from Corollary 2:

$$r^2_{\mathbf{ab}} = 1 - \sum_{i<j} (\det[\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}'|i,j])^2. \tag{13}$$

Inspired by the above formulas of $r^2_{\mathbf{abc}}$ and $r^2_{\mathbf{ab}}$, we define the multivariate linear correlation coefficient as following:

Definition 2 For n-dimensional non-zero-variance variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{a}_m$, $2 \leq m \leq n$, if $\mathbf{a}'_i$ is the standardized vector of $\mathbf{a}_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, then linear correlation coefficient $r_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ among $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$ is defined as

$$r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 1 - \sum_{j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_m} (\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_m])^2 \ , \tag{14}$$

where $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

From Definition 2 we can see that the sum of the squares of the determinant group is a coupling part of the proposed multivariate LCC. We define the coupling part as the

multivariate linear incorrelation coefficient (LIC), which can be used to measure linear irrelevance between variables:

Definition 3 For n-dimensional non-zero-variance variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{a}_m$, $2 \leq m \leq n$, if $\mathbf{a}'_i$ is the standardized vector of $\mathbf{a}_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, linear incorrelation coefficient (LIC) $\omega_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ among $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$ is defined as

$$\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = \sum_{j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_m} (\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m])^2, \tag{15}$$

where $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

A lemma exists for LIC as following:

Lemma 2 For n-dimensional variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{a}_m$, $\mathbf{a}_{m+1}$, $2 \leq m+1 \leq n$, if $\mathbf{a}'_i$ is the standardized vector of $\mathbf{a}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m, m+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} - \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m\mathbf{a}_{m+1}} \\ &= \sum_{J_{m-1}} \Big(\sum_{p\notin J_{m-1}} (-1)^{g(p:J_{m-1})} a'_{m+1,p} \det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|p, J_{m-1}] \Big)^2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $J_{m-1} = \{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{m-1}\}$, $j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_{m-1}$, $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{m-1} \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and $g(p : S)$ is the number of elements which are larger than p in the set S.

Proof: Let $J_m = \{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m\}$, $j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_m$, $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and $J_{m+1} = \{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m, j_{m+1}\}$, $j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_m<j_{m+1}$, $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m, j_{m+1} \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m\mathbf{a}_{m+1}} = \sum_{J_{m+1}} (\det[\mathbf{a}'(m+1)|J_{m+1}])^2 \\ &= \sum_{J_{m+1}} \{ \sum_{p=j_1}^{j_{m+1}} (a'^2_{m+1,p} \det^2[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash p]) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{l,p\in J_{m+1} \\ l\neq p}} \begin{matrix} [2(-1)^{g(l:J_{m+1})}(a'_{m+1,l} \det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash l]) \\ (-1)^{g(p:J_{m+1})}(a'_{m+1,p} \det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash p])] \end{matrix} \}. \end{aligned}$$

The first part can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{J_{m+1}} \sum_{p=j_1}^{j_{m+1}} (a'^2_{m+1,p} \det^2[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash p]) = \sum_p a'^2_{m+1,p} \sum_{\substack{J_m \\ p\notin J_m}} \det^2[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_m] \\ &= \sum_p a'^2_{m+1,p} (\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} - \sum_{\substack{J_m \\ p\in J_m}} \det^2[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_m]) \\ &= \left\|\mathbf{a}'_{m+1}\right\|^2 \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} - \sum_p a'^2_{m+1,p} \sum_{\substack{J_m \\ p\in J_m}} \det^2[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_m] \\ &= \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} - \sum_p a'^2_{m+1,p} \sum_{\substack{J_{m-1} \\ p\notin J_{m-1}}} \det^2[\mathbf{a}'(m)|p, J_{m-1}], \end{aligned}$$

and the second part can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{J_{m+1}} \sum_{\substack{l,p\in J_{m+1}\\ l\neq p}} \{2(-1)^{g(l:J_{m+1})+g(p:J_{m+1})} a'_{m+1,l}a'_{m+1,p} \\
&\quad \det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash l]\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash p]\} \\
&= 2\sum_{l\neq p} a'_{m+1,l}a'_{m+1,p} \sum_{\substack{J_{m+1}\\ l,p\in J_{m+1}}} \{(-1)^{g(l:J_{m+1})+g(p:J_{m+1})} \\
&\quad \det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash l]\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|J_{m+1}\backslash p]\} \\
&= 2\sum_{l\neq p} a'_{m+1,l}a'_{m+1,p} \sum_{\substack{J_{m-1}\\ l,p\notin J_{m-1}}} \{(-1)^{g(l:J_{m-1})+g(p:J_{m-1})+1} \\
&\quad \det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|p,J_{m-1}]\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|l,J_{m-1}]\} \\
&= -2\sum_{J_{m-1}} \sum_{\substack{l\neq p\\ l,p\notin J_{m-1}}} \{(-1)^{g(p:J_{m-1})} a'_{m+1,p}\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|p,J_{m-1}] \\
&\quad (-1)^{g(l:J_{m-1})} a'_{m+1,l}\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|l,J_{m-1}]\}
\end{aligned}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m\mathbf{a}_{m+1}} = \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m\mathbf{a}_m} - \phi(\mathbf{a}'(m+1)),$$

and $\phi(\mathbf{a}'(m+1))$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\mathbf{a}'(m+1)) &= \sum_{J_{m-1}} \sum_{p\notin J_{m-1}} a'^2_{m+1,p}\det^2[\mathbf{a}'(m)|p,J_{m-1}] \\
&+2\sum_{J_{m-1}} \sum_{\substack{l\neq p\\ l,p\notin J_{m-1}}} \{(-1)^{g(p:J_{m-1})} a'_{m+1,p}\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|p,J_{m-1}] \\
&\quad \cdot(-1)^{g(l:J_{m-1})} a'_{m+1,l}\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|l,J_{m-1}]\} \\
&= \sum_{J_{m-1}} (\sum_{p\notin J_{m-1}} (-1)^{g(p:J_{m-1})} a'_{m+1,p}\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|p,J_{m-1}])^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The proposed multivariate linear correlation coefficient and linear incorrelation coefficient also have some important properties, several of which are discussed below.

Property 4.1 If $r_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ are multivariate LCC and LIC for non-zero-variance random variables $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_m$, respectively, and $r_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_{m-1}}$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_{m-1}}$ are multivariate LCC and LIC for $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{m-1}$, respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned}
r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} &\geq r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \\
\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} &\leq \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_{m-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Property 4.1 can be directly obtained from Lemma 2. It shows that the value of LCC for multiple variables is not less than that for part of them.

Property 4.2

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} \leq 1 \\
0 &\leq \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: According to Definition 3 we have $\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} \geq 0$. From Property 4.1, $\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} \leq \cdots \leq \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{a}_j} = 1 - r^2_{\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{a}_j} \leq 1, i \neq j,\ i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$. Because $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} + \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 1$, we have $0 \leq r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} \leq 1$.

Property 4.3 $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 1$ if and only if variables $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$ are linear dependence.

Proof:

Sufficiency: If $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$ are linear dependence, $\det[\mathbf{a}'(m)|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m] = 0$ for all cases of $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m$, $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

Necessity: We denote by $\overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_j = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \cdots, a_{mj})^T$ the jth column vector of the matrix $[\mathbf{a}(m)|1, 2, \cdots, n]$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Suppose $\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m\}$ exists to make $\text{rank}\{\overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_{j_1}, \overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_{j_2}, \cdots, \overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_{j_m}\} = m$, then $\det[\mathbf{a}(m)|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m] \neq 0$ and $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} < 1$. Hence, if $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 1$ we have $\text{rank}\{\overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_1, \overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_2, \cdots, \overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_n\} \leq m - 1$. Because the row rank equals to the column rank of the same matrix, we have

$$\text{rank}\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a_2}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_m\} = \text{rank}\{\overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_1, \overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_2, \cdots, \overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{a}}_n\} \leq m - 1.$$

Property 4.4 $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 0$ if and only if variables $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$ are perpendicular to each other.

Proof:

$r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 1$

According to Properties 1 and 2, we can obtain

$$1 = \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} \leq \cdots \leq \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{a}_j} \leq 1$$

Hence, for arbitrary $i, j \in 1, 2, \cdots, m, i \neq j$, we have $\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{a}_j} = 1$ and $\gamma^2_{\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{a}_j} = 0$.

Property 4.5 If variables $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_{m-1}$ are not linear dependent, $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ then gets the biggest value 1 if and only if variable $\mathbf{a}_m$ lies on the hyperplane spanned by $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_{m-1}$, and $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ gets the smallest value $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_{m-1}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{a}_m$ is perpendicular to the hyperplane spanned by $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_{m-1}$.

Proof: The first half is true according to Property 4.3. Now we prove the second part.

According to Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} - \gamma^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \\
&= \sum_{J_{m-2}} \Big(\sum_{p \notin J_{m-2}} (-1)^{g(p:J_{m-2})} a'_{m,p} \det[\mathbf{a}'(m-1)|p, J_{m-2}] \Big)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the determinant $\det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2})$ as

$$\det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2}) = \det([\mathbf{a}'_1, \mathbf{a}'_2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}'_{m-1} \backslash \mathbf{a}'_i | J_{m-2}]).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{p \notin J_{m-2}} (-1)^{g(p:J_{m-2})} a'_{m,p} \det[\mathbf{a}'(m-1)|p, J_{m-2}] \\
&= \sum_{p \notin J_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} a'_{i,p} \det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2}) \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2}) \sum_{p \notin J_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p} \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2}) (\sum_p a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p} - \sum_{p \in J_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p}) \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2}) (\mathbf{a}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_m) - \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2}) \sum_{p=j_1}^{j_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p}
\end{aligned}$$

The first part of the above equation is a formula of the inner product. The second part can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \det(\bar{i}; j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{m-2}) \sum_{p=j_1}^{j_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p} \\
&= \det \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{p=j_1}^{j_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p} & a'_{1,j_1} & a'_{1,j_2} & \cdots & a'_{1,j_{m-2}} \\
\sum_{p=j_1}^{j_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p} & a'_{2,j_1} & a'_{2,j_2} & \cdots & a'_{2,j_{m-2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sum_{p=j_1}^{j_{m-2}} a'_{m,p} a'_{i,p} & a'_{m-1,j_1} & a'_{m-1,j_2} & \cdots & a'_{m-1,j_{m-2}}
\end{bmatrix} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{p \notin J_{m-2}} (-1)^{g(p:J_{m-2})} a'_{m,p} \det[\mathbf{a}'(m-1)|p, J_{m-2}] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} \det(\bar{i}; J_{m-2}) (\mathbf{a}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\gamma^2_{\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \cdots \mathbf{a}_m}$ gets the minimum value $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{m-1}}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} \det(\bar{i}; j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{m-2}) (\mathbf{a}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_m) = 0 \tag{17}$$

holds for all possible $\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{m-2}\}$ and i.

Because variables $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{m-1}$ are not linear dependent, $J_{m-1} = \{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{m-1}\}$ exists to make the rank of $[\mathbf{a}'(m-1)|J_{m-1}]$ equal to $m-1$. Then $[\mathbf{a}'(m-1)|J_{m-1}]$ is an invertible matrix and its adjoint matrix is also an invertible matrix. Each row of the adjoint matrix of $[\mathbf{a}'(m-1)|J_{m-1}]$ is just the linear coefficients of one equation in the above equation. Finally, we obtain $(\mathbf{a}'_i, \mathbf{a}'_m) = 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$.

According to Lemma 1 and Definition 3, if the number of variables is the same as their dimension, we have the following corollary, which gives a new explanation of determinant from the view of multivariate linear correlation.

Corollary 3 For n-dimensional non-zero-variance random variables $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_n$, $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \cdots, a_{in})$, if $\mathbf{a}'_i$ is the standardized vector of $\mathbf{a}_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and $\mathbf{A}$ is the square matrix whose row vectors are $\mathbf{a}'_1$, $\mathbf{a}'_2$, $\cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}'_n$, respectively, then we have

$$\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = (\det(\mathbf{A}))^2. \tag{18}$$

This corollary gives a new explanation of determinant that if the row or column vectors of a matrix are all standardized, then the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix depicts the linear irrelevance of these standardized vectors.

Lastly, if the variables in the inner product matrix $\mathbf{M}$ are all standardized, the inner product matrix is then transformed into the correlation matrix. Correlation matrix is also a widely used feature in various fields. For n-dimensional non-zero-variance variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{a}_m$, $2 \leq m \leq n$, if $r_{\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{a}_j}$ is Pearson's correlation coefficient between $\mathbf{a}_i$ and $\mathbf{a}_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, the correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ of these variables is as following:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & r_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2} & \cdots & r_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_m} \\ r_{\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_1} & 1 & \cdots & r_{\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{\mathbf{a}_m\mathbf{a}_1} & r_{\mathbf{a}_m\mathbf{a}_2} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we have the following Multivariate Linear Correlation Theorem from Lemma 1, Definition 2 and Definition 3:

Multivariate Linear Correlation Theorem If $r_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ are the multivariate linear correlation coefficient and the multivariate linear incorrelation coefficient for n-dimensional non-zero-variance random variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, and $\mathbf{a}_m$, respectively, then $\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ equals to the determinant of the correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ of these variables, and the

sum of $r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ and $\omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ is 1:

$$\begin{array}{l} \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = \det(\mathbf{R}) \\ r^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} + \omega^2_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m} = 1 \end{array} . \tag{19}$$

5. CORRELATION MEASURES FOR LINEAR DECOMPOSITION

For a group of n-dimensional vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ and a target vector $\mathbf{y}$, linear decomposition selects m vectors from $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ to approximate the target $\mathbf{y}$ by a linear combination of these selected vectors. Linear decomposition has lots of important applications such as linear regression, sparse coding, and portfolio in economics.

Without loss of generality, suppose the selected vectors are $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots$, and $\mathbf{v}_m$, and their linear combination is $\mathbf{x} = \beta_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \beta_m\mathbf{v}_m + o\mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector whose entries are all ones, and β_i and o are the coefficients of the linear combination, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Then the mean square error (MSE) between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ is

$$MSE(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{y} - (\beta_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \beta_m\mathbf{v}_m + o\mathbf{1})\|_2 . \tag{20}$$

Let the variances of $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{x}$, and $\mathbf{y}$ are $\sigma_i, \sigma_\mathbf{x}$, and $\sigma_\mathbf{y}$, respectively, the means of $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{x}$, and $\mathbf{y}$ are $\mu_i, \mu_\mathbf{x}$, and $\mu_\mathbf{y}$, respectively, and the covariances between $\mathbf{v}_i$ and $\mathbf{y}$, between $\mathbf{v}_i$ and $\mathbf{v}_j$, and between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are $\sigma_{i\mathbf{y}}$, σ_{ij}, and $\sigma_{\mathbf{xy}}$, respectively, $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Then

$$\begin{array}{l} \sigma^2_\mathbf{x} = \sum\limits_i \beta_i \sum\limits_j \beta_j \sigma_{ij} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{xy}} = \sum\limits_i \beta_i \sigma_{i\mathbf{y}} \end{array} .$$

To minimize the value of MSE between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ by the least square method, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial MSE^2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial o} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial MSE^2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \beta_i} = 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \mu_\mathbf{y} = \hat{\beta}_1\mu_1 + \hat{\beta}_2\mu_2 + \cdots + \hat{\beta}_m\mu_m + \hat{o} \\ \sum\limits_j \hat{\beta}_j \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{i\mathbf{y}} \end{array}$$

where $\hat{\beta}_i$ and $\hat{o}$ are the estimates of β_i and o, respectively, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{l} MSE^2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2_\mathbf{y} - 2\sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}} + \sigma^2_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \\ \sigma^2_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} = \sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}} \end{array}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\beta}_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \hat{\beta}_m\mathbf{v}_m + \hat{o}\,\mathbf{1}$ is the estimated vector of the target $\mathbf{y}$.

Let $\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1m} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \cdots & \sigma_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{m1} & \sigma_{m2} & \cdots & \sigma_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\beta}_m \end{bmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1\mathbf{y}} \\ \sigma_{2\mathbf{y}} \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_{m\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}}$$
$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}}^T & \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \det(\mathbf{S})(\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2 - \mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}}^T\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}})$$

Hence,

$$MSE^2(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{y}) = \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2 - \sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}} = \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2 - \mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}}^T\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{\cdot\mathbf{y}} = \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2 \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m\mathbf{y}}^2}{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m}^2}.$$

For a given target vector $\mathbf{y}$, $\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2$ is kept invariant. Then we obtain an interesting equation:

$$\min MSE(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{y}) \Leftrightarrow \min \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m\mathbf{y}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m}} \tag{21}$$

According to Eq. (21), these vectors selected from $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ should have small correlation while remaining enough information of the target vector $\mathbf{y}$. This conclusion fits well with our intuition.

Let $r_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}}$ and $\omega_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}}$ are the correlation coefficient and the incorrelation coefficient between $\mathbf{y}$ and its estimated vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, respectively, then we have

$$r_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}}^2 = (\frac{\sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}}}{\sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}})^2 = \frac{\sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2} = 1 - \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m\mathbf{y}}^2}{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m}^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\omega_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m\mathbf{y}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{v}_2\cdots\mathbf{v}_m}}. \tag{22}$$

The above compact equation offers the relationship among the LIC between the estimated linear decomposition $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and the target vector $\mathbf{y}$, the LIC of these vector $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{y}$, and the LIC of these vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_m$. It shows that the minimization of $MSE(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is equivalent to the maximization of the LCC between $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, which is kept the same with the unitary case [7].

Additionally, the coefficient of multiple determination [8] can also be simplified as

$$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SSTO} = \frac{\sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}^2}{\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2} = \frac{\sigma_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}}^2}{\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^2} = r_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y}}^2 \tag{23}$$




Figure 2. The curve of the absolute value of Pearson's correlation coefficient against the angle γ between two variables.

Hence, the coefficient of multiple determination is just the absolute value of Pearson's correlation coefficient between the target vector and its estimated vector.

6. EXPERIMENT ANALYSIS

In this section, we take the case of three variables as an example to visually demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed linear correlation coefficient.

6.1 Performance of the Proposed Multivariate LCC

The variation of the absolute value of Pearson's correlation coefficient with the angle γ between two variables is shown in Figure 2. The correlation coefficient curve in Figure 2 approaches the line segments connecting the extreme-value points within the interval $[0^0, 90^0]$ and $[90^0, 180^0]$, which indicates Pearson's correlation coefficient can effectively describe the relation between the strength of linear correlation and the angle γ.

For multiple variables, linear correlation coefficient changes with multiple angles in different spatial directions. We take the case of three variables as an example, if an angle α is fixed, then the relation of the other two angles β and γ, and the correlation coefficient among three variables can be visually display in a 3D graph. Figure 3 shows four such graphs with different fixed angles 30^0, 90^0, 145^0, and 160^0, respectively. From Figure 3 we can see that these surfaces have similar structure but different depth, curvature, and top rectangles.

To further examine the synergistic effect of different spatial angles on the proposed LCC, some vertical profile lines of the surfaces need to be analyzed. Because the surface of the proposed LCC against the angles β and γ is a symmetrical surface about the vertical




Figure 3. Some surfaces of the linear correlation coefficient against the angles β and γ with the other angle α fixed as 30^0, 90^0, 145^0, and 160^0, respectively.

direction of the two central axes and the central point of the top rectangle, we only need to consider parts of the vertical profile lines, and the profile lines for other symmetrical cases are kept the same or are the reflection of them. Figure 4 gives some profile lines with different vertical cutting lines. All the experiments show that the profile lines of the surfaces of the proposed LCC against the angles β and γ approaches the line segments connecting the extreme-value points, which means that the proposed LCC is an effective descriptor to measure the strength of multivariate linear correlation.

6.2 Contour Line and Geometrical Explanation of LIC

As shown in Figure 3, a myriad of contour lines exist in the surface of multivariate LCC. A simple example is that if the pairwise angles for three variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{b}_1$, and $\mathbf{c}_1$ are 45^0, 45^0, and 60^0, respectively, and the pairwise angles for three variables $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\mathbf{b}_2$, and $\mathbf{c}_2$





Figure 4. The profile lines derived by the LCC surfaces and the vertical cutting lines. The small plot in each plot describes the position relation of the cutting line in the top rectangle.

are 30^0, 90^0, and 90^0, respectively, then the three variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{b}_1$, and $\mathbf{c}_1$ and the other three variables $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\mathbf{b}_2$, and $\mathbf{c}_2$ have the same value of LCC. Some contour lines for three variables with the fixed angle α equal to 90^0 and 140^0 are shown in Figure 5.

In fact, the linear relation for multiple variables is closely tied with the parallelotope in multi-dimensional space. For example, the linear space structured by m independent variables is the m-dimensional linear space, and the vector sum of the m variables is the diagonal of the parallelogram formed by these variables in this m-dimensional space.

Barth had proposed that the determinant of a Gram matrix is the square of the volume of the parallelotope formed by the variables [9], and the correlation matrix is a special Gram



a) Contour lines with α = 90º b) Contour lines with α = 140º

Figure 5. Some contour lines with different correlation coefficients for three variables with fixed the angle $\alpha = 90^0$ and $\alpha = 140^0$, respectively.

matrix. According to Section 4 in this paper, the square of the proposed linear incorrelation coefficient is the determinant of correlation matrix. Hence, we have the following corollarys:

Corollary 4 If $\omega_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ is the linear incorrelation coefficient among multiple variables $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{a}_m$, then $\omega_{\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_m}$ is the volume of the parallelotope formed by the vectors $\mathbf{a}'_1$, $\mathbf{a}'_2$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{a}'_m$, where $\mathbf{a}'_i$ is the standardized vector of $\mathbf{a}_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Corollary 5 For spatial angles $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_m$, the linear incorrelation coefficient is the volume of the parallelotope formed by the unit vectors whose pairwise angles are α_1, α_2, $\cdots$, and α_m, respectively.

According to Corollary 5, the contour lines on the LCC surface is the equal-volume line for different spatial angles.

References

[1] Stigler, S. M. (1989), "Francis Galton's Account of the Invention of Correlation," *Statistical Science*, 4, 73–79.

[2] Dragomir, S. S. (1999), "A Generalization of Grüss's Inequality in Inner Product Spaces and Applications," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 237, 74–82.

[3] Garnett, J. C. M. (1919), "On Certain Independent Factors in Mental Measurements," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, Series A, 96, 91–111.

[4] Zeng, J. (1993), "A Bijective Proof of Muir's Identity and the Cauchy-Binet Formula," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 184, 79–82.

[5] Leonov, V. P. and Shiryaev, A. N. (1959), "On a Method of Calculation of Semi-Invariants," *Theory of Probability & its applications*, 4, 319–329.

[6] Hasebe, T. and Saigo, H. (2011), "Joint Cumulants for Natural Independence," *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 16, 491–506.

[7] Wang, J. and Zheng, N. (2013), "A Novel Fractal Image Compression Scheme With Block Classification and Sorting Based on Pearson's Correlation Coefficient," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 22, 3690–3702.

[8] Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C. J., Neter, J., and Li, W. (2005), "Applied Linear Statistical Models," 5th edition, New York: McGraw-Hill.

[9] Barth, N. (1999), "The Gramian and k-Volume in n-Space: Some Classical Results in Linear Algebra," *Journal of Young Investigators*, 2.